

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/298,417	04/23/1999	LYNN HOLM-BLAGG	06042-0130	2032
20350	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	

DATE MAILED. 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S				
31	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/298,417	HOLM-BLAGG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alain L. Bashore	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 .	<u>luly 2003</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 9-22 and 68-75 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-22 and 68-75</u> is/are rejected.						
<u>. </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
··· <u> </u>	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	2201 00 0.0.0. 33 120	· with with the tr				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/298,417 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In view of the appeal brief filed on 7-1-03 PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-22, 68-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Watson in view of Musmanno et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/298,417

Art Unit: 3624

Watson discloses a method for applying a group payment to a group comprising a plurality of accounts (col 11, lines 5-20). There is identified one or more accounts to which the payment is associated and determining that the one or more accounts are associated with the group (col 11, lines 21-33). The group payment is compared to the group balance and there is described calculating the group payment allocation then applying the group payment to the accounts included in the group payment allocation (col 12, lines 17-33). The group balance may be an aggregate (col 12, lines 21-23) and a report is made (col 8, lines 23-24). A payment option may be identified (col 3, lines 5-9).

Page 3

Watson does not explicitly disclose:

accounts spanning a plurality of products;

a group balance that may further be: a minimum payment, or a delinquency amount.

accounts including a key account and a dependent account;

determining whether payment for the dependent account is due from a primary owner for the group or from a dependant cardholder associated with the dependant account; and

Application/Control Number: 09/298,417

Art Unit: 3624

if payment for the dependant account is due from the group, there is applied the group payment to the key account and the dependent account.

Musmanno et al discloses: accounts spanning a plurality of products (col 1, lines 62-67), accounts including a key account and a dependent account (col 3, lines 40-55), determining whether a transaction for the dependent account is due from a primary owner for the group or from a dependant cardholder associated with the dependant account (col 4, lines 31-34), and if the transaction for the dependant account is due, there is applied the group payment to the key account and the dependent account (col 4, lines 35-44).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include accounts spanning a plurality of products because Musmanno et al teaches that is common for a group (customers) to have a plurality of products (col 1, lines 65-66).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include accounts having a key and dependent accounts because Musmanno teaches the advantages of key/dependencies for financial goals (col 3, lines 5-10).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include determining whether payment for the dependent account is due from a primary owner

Application/Control Number: 09/298,417

Art Unit: 3624

for the group or from a dependant cardholder associated with the dependant account because legal obligations that must be determined of transactions for key / dependencies, such as fees as taught by Musmanno et al (col 4, lines 34-67; col 5, lines 1-12).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include if payment for the dependant account is due from the group, there is applied the group payment to the key account and the dependent account because Musmanno et al teaches that in setting up a key/dependency accounts, responsibilities are determined (col 3, lines 22-39; col 4, lines 34-44; col 5, lines 22-33).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113.

October 28, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8600

Just Helli